RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

JAN 0 6 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Dwork)	Art Unit: 2135
)	
Serial No.: 09/487,502)	Examiner: Klimach
)	
Filed:	January 19, 2000)	AM9-99-0138
)	
For:	DIGITAL SIGNATURE SYSTEM AND METHOD)	January 6, 2007
	BASED ON HARD LATTICE PROBLEM)	750 B STREET, Suite 3120
)	San Diego, CA 92101
)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA

Dear Sir:

The Office Action dated January 4, 2007 is almost indecipherable and must be reissued with at least some modicum of clarity.

- 1. The Office Action Summary Sheet indicates that claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9-26, 28, 29, and 33-35 are rejected. The formal statement of rejection on the very next page, however, indicates that only claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for being non-statutory.
- 2. However, under "allowable subject matter", claims 1-11 and 19-35 are indicated as being allowed.
- 3. However, claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 27, and 30-32 can neither rejected nor allowed. They are canceled.
- To compound confusion, right after the formal statement of rejection indicating the claims 12-18 are rejected under Section 101, the very first sentence discusses claims 1-11 and 26-35, and the next sentence, which logically would seem to refer to the first, launches into an allegation of a collection of information not

1953-73.AM2